

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,856	07/10/2001	A. David Johnson	A-70170	1678	
75	590 10/16/2003		EXAM	INER	
Law Offices o	f Richard E. Backus	WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P			
The Monadnock	k Building	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
685 Market Stre	eet		1742		
San Francisco,	CA 94105		DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				·	W
., , ,			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			09/902,856	JOHNSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary			Examin r	Art Unit	
			George P Wyszomierski	1742	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication ap _i	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address	
THE I - Exter after - if the - if NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repeply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.4 munication. (30) days, a rep statutory period by will, by statute	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s)	iled on <u>2/1</u>	0/03 (and Petition granted 7/29/0	<u>3)</u> .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pracon of Claims				S
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the	application			
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdra	awn from consideration.		
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restron Papers	ction and/o	or election requirement.		
_	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			aminer.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any of	·	•		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction file	ed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No	
* S		national Bu	ority documents have been receivureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive	_	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim				n).
a;	The translation of the foreign la	nguage pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.	
Attachment	-		. , ,		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. The specification is objected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as the specification does not describe the invention in such terms as to enable a person skilled in the art to make or use the same. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The invention as set forth in independent claims 1 and 6 involves a) depositing a sacrificial layer on a substrate, b) depositing a sputtered shape memory alloy on the sacrificial layer, then, either before or after annealing, c) applying a chemical etchant to the sacrificial layer to etch the layer away. It appears that steps (a) and (b) of this process could be illustrated as follows:

a)		b)	sputtered shape memory alloy
	sacrificial layer	·	sacrificial layer
	substrate		substrate

As seen above, at this point, the sacrificial layer would be sandwiched between the substrate and the shape memory alloy. If one were then to attempt to apply the etchant, it is unclear how the etchant could penetrate into the sacrificial layer, as it would be in a sense protected by the substrate and the shape memory alloy. Clarification is required as to what step(s) are involved in the inventive process.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,856

Art Unit: 1742

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 07-90624 or 10-173306.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rasmussen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,592,724) or Bose et al. (U.S. Patent 6,605,111).

The prior art discloses thin film shape memory alloy materials which have been separated from whatever supporting materials they had been deposited upon, i.e. the prior art materials include free standing thin film shape memory alloy materials. See the Abstracts of JP '624 or '306, Rasmussen column 4, lines 1-10, or Bose column 14, lines 22-25. Thus, the prior

Art Unit: 1742

art materials appear to be physically identical to the products as currently claimed (in the sense of 35 USC 102).

The prior art does not disclose the process steps referred to in product-by-process terms in the instant claims. However, it is well settled that a product-by-process claim defines a product, and that when the prior art discloses a <u>product</u> substantially the same as that being claimed, differing only in the manner by which it is made, the burden falls to applicant to show that any <u>process</u> steps associated therewith result in a product materially different from that disclosed in the prior art. See *In re Brown* (173 USPQ 685) and *In re Fessman* (180 USPQ 524). In the present case Applicant has not met this burden. Thus, at a minimum, the claimed products are held to be at best not patentably distinct from those disclosed by JP '624 or '306, Rasmussen et al. or Bose et al.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (703) 308-1146. Effective October 1, 2003, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GEORGE WYSZOMERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chub

GPW October 3, 2003